

TC2800

Organization _____ Bldg./Room _____
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

If Undeliverable Return In Ten Days

Official Business
Penalty For Private Use, \$300

Jeff

U.S. OFFICIAL MAIL
PENALTY FOR
PRIVATE USE \$300
UNITED STATES POSTAGE
PITNEY BOWES


\$ 00.370

02 1A
CODE 3201065
NOV 16 2005

MAILED FROM ZIP CODE 22314



AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER



BEST AVAILABLE COPY

92660+6420-92259391450 <http://www.uspto.gov>



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IFW
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/830,635	08/02/2001	Alexander Ivanovich Taran	VALER11.001APC	1851
7590	11/16/2005			EXAMINER NORRIS, JEREMY C
Knobbe Martens Olson & Bear Sixteenth Floor 620 Newport Center Drive Newport Beach, CA 92660			ART UNIT 2841	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*RECEIVED
OPE/IAP
DEC 05 2005*


Notice of Abandonment	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/830,635	TARAN, ALEXANDER IVANOVICH	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jeremy C. Norris	2841		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

This application is abandoned in view of:

1. Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 25 March 2005.
 - (a) A reply was received on _____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated _____), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of _____ month(s)) which expired on _____.
 - (b) A proposed reply was received on _____, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).
 - (c) A reply was received on _____ but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).
 - (d) No reply has been received.
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).
 - (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on _____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated _____), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).
 - (b) The submitted fee of \$_____ is insufficient. A balance of \$_____ is due. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$_____. The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$_____.
 - (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).
 - (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on _____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated _____), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.
 - (b) No corrected drawings have been received.
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on _____ and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.
7. The reason(s) below:

A call was placed to the office of Mr. John Carson on 9 November 2005 to confirm that the application had indeed been abandoned.



KAMAND CUNE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.